

# S0040-4039(96)00288-2

# First Synthesis of (+)-Brazilane from (+)-Brazilin

Jinzhu Xu and Jean Claude Yadan

Oxis International S.A., ZA des Petits Carreaux, 2 Avenue des Coquelicots, 94385 Bonneuil sur Marne Cedex, France

Abstract: The first synthesis of the enantiomerically pure brazilane was achieved from brazilin by the radical deoxygenation reaction of tertiary alcohols which took place with retention of configuration.

Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Brazilane 1 is one of the synthetic derivatives of brazilin 2, a member of the homoflavonoid family isolated from the heartwood of *Caesalpinia sappan*<sup>1</sup>. The racemic brazilane has already been mentioned as a crude mixture. However, its purification and characterization have never been effected<sup>2,3</sup>. Racemic O-trimethylbrazilane has been obtained either by total synthesis<sup>4, 5</sup> or by hemisynthesis from brazilin<sup>2,6</sup>. To our knowledge, the enantiomerically pure brazilane has never been synthesized. As part of our study directed towards the development of a new tool for the measurement of superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity<sup>7</sup>, it was decided to prepare brazilane 1. In this communication, we report for the first time the synthesis of the enantiomerically pure brazilane 1 by deoxygenation of brazilin 2.

HO 10 
$$\frac{11}{9}$$
  $\frac{11}{8}$   $\frac{1}{7}$   $\frac{1}{8}$   $\frac{2}{6}$   $\frac{3}{6}$   $\frac{3}{6$ 

Brazilin 2 bears two adjacent asymetric carbons in the junction of the BC rings. The aim of our synthesis was to carry out a deoxygenation of the tertiary alcohol while preserving the chirality. Due to this constraint, all classical methods generating a  $sp^2$  carbon in the intermediate could not be used here. Some other methods<sup>8</sup>, such as the dissolving metals reduction of ester derivatives of alcohol<sup>9</sup>, the photolysis of acetates<sup>10</sup> and the stannane reduction of various ester derivatives<sup>11</sup> could be used under these circumstances. We have chosen to study the radical deoxygenation approach.

The three phenoxyl groups of brazilin 1 were protected as tribenzylbrazilin 3 according to Dann et al.<sup>12</sup> (Scheme 1). Robins' modification<sup>13</sup> of Barton and McCombie's deoxygenation reaction<sup>14</sup> was first applied to this tertiary alcohol. Thus, compound 3 was deprotonated with MeLi at -70 °C and treated with phenyl chlorothionoformate to give 4<sup>15</sup> in 97% yield. When the compound 4 was heated with 1.5 eq. of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH under reflux in benzene for 30 mn in the presence of a catalytic amount of AIBN, brazilane derivative 6 was isolated in 84% yield together with a very small amount of the elimination product 7. The absence of AIBN afforded the

elimination compound as major product. The thionocarbonate 4 was thermally unstable and a Chugaev-type elimination took place. Thus, heating 4 alone in toluene under reflux for 1.5 h produced 7 in 84% yield. It is noteworthy that this reductive deoxygenation is generally used in secondary alcohols<sup>13</sup> and fails with the tertiary ones<sup>8,11</sup>.

Reagents and conditions: (a) BnBr,  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, reflux, 18 h, 85%; (b) for 4: (i) MeLi, THF, -70°C to rt; (ii) ClC(S)OPh, -70°C to rt, 97%; for 5: (i) MeLi, THF, -70°C to rt; (ii) ClC(S)OC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, -70°C to rt, 64%; (c) from 4: Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH, AIBN (cat.), benzene, reflux, 0.5 h, 84%; from 5: *idem*, 71%.

#### Scheme 1

Several other reactions were examined and gave less satisfactory results. Pentafluorophenylthionocarbonate<sup>16</sup> 5 produced, under the same conditions as that for 4, tribenzylbrazilane 6 in 71% yield with a small amount of the starting alcohol 3 and the alkene 7 (Scheme 1). On the other hand, the deoxygenation reaction through the corresponding thiohydroxamate<sup>17</sup> failed. When the crude chloride prepared from alcohol 3 and oxalyl chloride was added to a mixture of the salt of 2-mercaptopyridine-N-oxide and tertBuSH in benzene under reflux, only the corresponding thioxalate (16%) and the starting alcohol 3 were obtained after treatment.

The procedure described by Dolan et al. <sup>18</sup> with the reduction of methyl oxalate was also found useful for our purpose (Scheme 2). Direct treatment of 3 with methyl oxalyl chloride at reflux in THF gave 8 along with some decomposition products. Methyl oxalate 8 was preferably prepared (90%) by deprotonation with MeLi and treatment with methyl oxalyl chloride. Treatment of compound 8 with 1.5 eq. Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH in the presence of AIBN (cat.) (reflux in toluene, 20 mn) afforded the alkane 6 in 71% yield. No trace of the elimination product 7 was found.

Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) MeLi, THF, -70°C to rt; (ii) ClC(O)COOMe, -70°C to rt, 90%; (b) Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH, AIBN (cat.), toluene, reflux, 20 mn, 71%; (c) H<sub>2</sub>, 10% Pd/C, AcOEt, rt, 18 h, 100%.

#### Scheme 2

Tribenzylbrazilane 6 ( $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +2 (c 1.02, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)) obtained from 4, 5 and 8 showed a coupling constant of 7.9 Hz between H-6a and H-11b indicating a *cis* junction between rings B and C. This was further proved by

comparison with racemate (±)-6 prepared by the catalytic hydrogenation of the alkene 7 and the tribenzylation of the resulting racemic brazilane (±)-1 whose cis structure was unequivocal (Scheme 3). From the fact that the chirality of C-11b was not affected during the radical deoxygenation and that the BC rings were of cis junction, we deduced that the configuration of C-6a was retained.

$$4 \xrightarrow{a)} 7 \xrightarrow{b)} (+)-1 \xrightarrow{c)} (+)-6$$

Reagents and conditions: (a) toluene, reflux, 1.5 h, 84%; (b)  $H_2$ , 10% Pd/C, AcOEt, rt, 18 h, 100%; (c) BnBr,  $K_2$ CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, reflux, 18 h, 96%.

### Scheme 3

In the above deoxygenation reactions, no trace of the *trans* analog was formed. From a mechanistic point of view, the radical deoxygenation of 4, 5 and 8 occurs probably via a configurationally favoured sp<sup>3</sup> radical, resulting in the retention of the starting configuration. Configuration inversion would entail a planar radical intermediate which would be energetically unfavourable due to the ring constraint.

Finally, the catalytic hydrogenation of tribenzylbrazilane 6 (10% Pd/C, H<sub>2</sub>, AcOEt) afforded quantitatively brazilane 1:  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +103 (c 1.00, MeOH) (Scheme 2).

In conclusion, we have described the first hemisynthesis of enantiomerically pure brazilane 1. The radical deoxygenation mediated by Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH in the presence of a catalytic amount of AIBN was particularly efficient for the tertiary alcohol derivatives 4, 5 and 8 and took place with retention of configuration. The use of a phenylthionocarbonate derivative allowed to work under mild conditions and gave the best results.

## References and notes

- 1. Robinson, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1958, 125-134
- 2. Morsingh, F.; Robinson, R. Tetrahedron 1970, 25, 281-289
- 3. Jaeger, R. H.; Lewis, P. M. E.; Robinson, R. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1295-1300
- 4. Chatterjea, J. N.; Robinson, R.; Tomlinson, M. L. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 507-512
- 5. Chakrabarti, P. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1963, 1907-1909
- 6. Chatterjea, J. N.; Shaw, S. C.; Sinha, N. D. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1974, 51, 752-754
- 7. Nebot, C.; Moutet, M.; Huet, P.; Xu, J.; Yadan, J. C.; Chaudière, J. Anal. Biochem. 1993, 214, 442-451
- 8. For a review, see: McCombie, S. W.: Reduction of Saturated Alcohols and Amines to Alkanes in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; pp. 811-833
- 9. Deshayes, H.; Pete, J. P. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 2063-2072
- 10. Portella, C.; Deshayes, H.; Pete, J. P.; Scholler, D. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 3635-3644
- 11. For a review, see: Harting W. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 2609-2645
- 12. Dann, O.; Hofmann, H. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1963, 667, 116-125
- 13. Robins, M. J.; Wilson, J.S.; Hansske, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4059-4065
- 14. Barton, D. H. R.; McCombie, S. W. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1975, 1574-1585
- All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectroscopic data.
   Selected data: 1: mp 157-159°C; [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> +103 (c 1.00, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (acetone-d<sub>6</sub>): δ = 2.37 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.3, 15.4 Hz, H-7), 2.69 (m, 1 H, H-6a), 2.92 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.3, 15.4 Hz, H-7'), 3.38 (t, 1 H, J = 10.2 Hz, H-6), 3.93 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.5, 10.2 Hz, H-6'), 3.98 (d, 1 H, J = 7.9 Hz, H-11b), 6.17 (d, 1 H, J = 2.4

Hz, H-4), 6.37 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.4, 8.3 Hz, H-2), 6.58 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.71 (s, 1 H, H-11), 7.10 (d, 1 H, J = 8.3 Hz, H-1), 7.42 (s, 1 H, OH exchangeable with  $D_2O$ ), 7.48 (s, 1 H, OH exchangeable with  $D_2O$ ), 8.02 (s, 1 H, OH exchangeable with  $D_2O$ ).

4: mp:  $101-103^{\circ}$ C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +23 (c 0.97, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.05 (d of AB system, 1 H, J = 16.6 Hz, H-7), 3.71 (d of AB system, 1 H, J = 16.6 Hz, H-7), 3.73 (d, 1 H, J = 12.7 Hz, H-6), 4.59 (s, 1 H, H-11b), 5.05 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.06 (AB system, 2 H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.10 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.28 (dd, 1 H, J = 1.8, 12.7 Hz, H-6), 6.56 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz, H-4), 6.71 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5, 8.5 Hz, H-2), 6.78 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.88(s, 1 H, H-11), 7.05 (m, 2 H, H<sub>arom</sub>), 7.19 (d, 1 H, J = 8.5 Hz, H-1), 7.28-7.46 (m, 18 H, H<sub>arom</sub>).

5: mp 62-64°C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  -9 (c 0.51, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.48 (d of AB system, 1 H, J = 15.8 Hz, H-7), 3.68 (d of AB system, 1 H, J = 15.8 Hz, H-7'), 3.75 (d, 1 H, J = 12.7 Hz, H-6), 4.60 (s, 1H, H-11b), 5.04 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.06 (AB system, 2 H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.10 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.20 (dd, 1 H, J = 1.3, 11.7 Hz, H-6'), 6.57 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz, H-4), 6.73 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5, 8.5 Hz, H-2), 6.78 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.88(s, 1 H, H-11), 7.21 (d, 1 H, J = 8.5 Hz, H-1), 7.25-7.50 (m, 15 H, H<sub>aron</sub>).

6: mp 113-115°C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +2 (c 1.02, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.56 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.3, 15.6 Hz, H-7), 2.80-2.88 (m, 1 H, H-6a), 3.10 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.2, 15.6 Hz, H-7'), 3.62 (dd, 1 H, J = 9.9, 10.7 Hz, H-6), 4.08 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.4, 10.7 Hz, H-6'), 4.16 (d, 1 H, J = 6.9 Hz, H-11b), 5.02 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.10 (s, 4 H, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.49 (d, 1 H, J = 2.6 Hz, H-4), 6.64 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.6, 8.4 Hz, H-2), 6.82 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.95 (s, 1 H, H-11), 7.17 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz, H-1), 7.30-7.50 (m, 15 H, H<sub>arom</sub>).

7: mp: 141°C (decomposition); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.30$  (s, 2 H, H-7), 5.06 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.02 (s, 2 H, H-6), 5.17 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.20 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.59 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.61 (dd, J = 2.6, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 7.11 (s, 1 H, H-11), 7.29 - 7.52 (m, 17 H, H<sub>arom</sub>).

8:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 36$  (c 0.79, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.37$  (AB system, 2 H, J = 16.8 Hz, H-7), 3.74 (d, 1 H, J = 12.5 Hz, H-6), 3.83 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.49 (s, 1 H, H-11b), 4.73 (dd, 1 H, J = 1.3, 12.5 Hz, H-6'), 5.03 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.07 (AB system, 2 H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.10 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.52 (d, 1 H, J = 2.6 Hz, H-4), 6.71 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.6, 8.5 Hz, H-2), 6.78 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.88 (s, 1 H, H-11), 7.15-7.50 (m, 16 H, H-1 and H<sub>arcm</sub>).

- 16. Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszberenyi, J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2619-2622
- 17. Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1986, 1603-1610
- 18. Dolan, S. C.; MacMillan, J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1985, 1588-1589

(Received in France 18 January 1996; accepted 12 February 1996)